

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/678,580 | JAPUNTICH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Annette F. Dixon | Art Unit 3771 | |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** RCE

(1) Annette F. Dixon, PTO personnel. (3) _____.

(2) Karl Hansen, Applicant's Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2008**Time:** N/A**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)***Claims discussed:***66 and 68***Prior art documents discussed:***Simpson et al. in view of Soderberg and Shindel***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Please see examiner's amendment.***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Annette F Dixon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)